

# MOOREA FUND CLIMATE ACTION

## Monthly Factsheet




### INVESTMENT OBJECTIVE

This actively managed sub-Fund seeks to provide long term capital appreciation (5 years) and generate a positive environmental impact through investments in shares issued by companies that operate in green eco-activities and develop solutions and businesses that actively contribute to the environmental transition. Green eco-activities include, but not limited to renewable energies, energy efficiencies, green mobility, green buildings, sustainable water and agriculture, circular economy. The Sub-Fund is actively managed with reference to the following benchmark: MSCI ACWI. The benchmark is used both for performance comparison and as a universe from which to select securities. It is specified that the investment universe of the MSCI ACWI index is not binding on the Investment Manager as the latter can select, in addition to the investment universe of the MSCI ACWI index, 0 to 20 securities of companies out of the MSCI ACWI index.

### PERFORMANCE

Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance.

#### PERFORMANCE GRAPH (base 100)



### RETURN

	Cumulative	1 month	3 months	Year-to-date	1 year	3 years *	5 years	Launch*
<b>Fund</b>		0.50%	-1.10%	4.37%	0.12%	-	-	-0.72%
<b>Benchmark**</b>		3.57%	3.71%	14.71%	21.57%	-	-	10.51%
<b>Gap</b>		-3.07%	-4.81%	-10.33%	-21.45%	-	-	-11.23%

Calendar Year	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
<b>Fund</b>	2.35%	-	-	-	-
<b>Benchmark**</b>	18.00%	-	-	-	-
<b>Gap</b>	-15.65%	-	-	-	-

\*\*Morningstar Global TME NR USD

"Source : Société Générale Private Wealth Management"

### RISK & VOLATILITY MEASURES

	Volatility			Beta	Sharpe Ratio
	1 year	3 years *	5 years		
<b>Fund</b>	15.56%	-	-	-	-
<b>Benchmark**</b>	10.03%	-	-	-	-


Source : Société Générale Private Wealth Management

JUNE 2024

SHARE CLASS MIFID EUR

LU2369747154

Synthetic Risk Indicator (SRI) <sup>(1)</sup>



SFDR

Article 9

Minimum Sustainable Investment

90%

Morningstar category

Sector Equity Ecology

Recommended investment horizon

5 years

Fund assets

EUR 26.68 M

NAV

EUR 245.78

Fund base currency

EUR

Share class currency

EUR

Inception date

21/02/2022

Legal Form

UCITS Luxembourg SICAV

Management Company

Société Générale Private Wealth Management S.A.

Manager Name

Petra Fencikova

Valuation / Subscriptions / Redemptions

Daily

Minimum subscription

1 share

Other share classes

RE	Retail EUR Acc.	LU2369747311
RU	Retail USD Acc.	LU2369747238

One Off Costs

Entry Costs	5.00%
Exit Costs	0.00%

Ongoing Costs


Other Costs	1.70%
Transaction Costs	0.90%

<sup>(1)</sup> Risk scale from 1 (lowest risk) to 7 (highest risk), the lowest category does not mean a risk-free investment. The risk and reward category shown is not guaranteed to remain unchanged and that the categorisation of the Sub-Fund may shift over time. The prospectus, the KIID (Key Investor Information Document) and annual reports of the Fund are available at [www.sgpwm.societegenerale.com](http://www.sgpwm.societegenerale.com) and on request at the registered office of Moorea Fund, of the Management Company or of the Custodian Bank.


<sup>(2)</sup> © 2024 Morningstar, Inc. all rights reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its information providers; (2) may not be reproduced or redistributed; and (3) is presented without warranty as to its accuracy, completeness or timeliness. Neither Morningstar nor its information providers shall be liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information.

## Financial Criteria

### GEOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN




### SECTOR BREAKDOWN



### PORTFOLIO STATISTICS

P/E forward	22.40
Return on equity (%)	28.9%
Annualised dividend yield	1.4%
Number of positions	49

### THEMATIC AREA



### TOP 5 HOLDINGS

Name	Weight	Country	Sector
MICROSOFT CORP	5.0%	United States	Information Technology
NVIDIA CORP	4.8%	United States	Information Technology
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SE	4.4%	France	Industry
XYLEM INC	4.2%	United States	Industry
SAP SE	4.2%	GERMANY	Information Technology

Source: Société Générale Private Wealth Management as at 28/06/2024.

Actual weighting and investment allocations are subject to change on an ongoing basis and may not be exactly as shown. Investors should understand the different asset classes which make up the strategy as they have different risk characteristics. Investments may be subject to market fluctuations and the price and value of investments and the income derived from them can go down as well as up. Your capital may be at risk and you may not get back the amount you invest. The tax benefits and liabilities will depend on individual circumstances and may change in the future.

## Extra-Financial Criteria




### ESG INDICATORS

	Fund	MSCI ACWI
<b>ESG Quality Score*</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>6.8</b>
Rating coverage	100.00%	99.69%
<b>ESG Median Rating</b>	<b>AA</b>	<b>A</b>
<b>Average Controversy Rating</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Rating coverage	100.00%	99.69%
<b>Carbon Footprint (tons of CO2/Millions invested)</b>	<b>72.3</b>	<b>119.9</b>
MSCI Coverage	100.00%	99.62%
<b>Portfolio alignment scenario</b>	<b>&lt; 2° C</b>	<b>&gt; 3° C</b>



One tonne of CO2 is a round trip from Paris to New York


### ESG RATING DISTRIBUTION




### CONTROVERSY FLAG DISTRIBUTION



### IMPACT INDICATORS VS. MSCI ACWI



### SUSTAINABILITY INDICATORS



### BUSINESS INVOLVEMENT DISTRIBUTION

For more information, please refer to the prospectus.

Sectors	% of portfolio revenues
Gambling	0.00%
Civilians arms	0.00%
Oil and gas	0.00%
Tobacco	0.10%
Genetically modified organisms (GMOs)	0.00%

### TOP 3 SUSTAINABLE IMPACT CONTRIBUTORS

	Sustainable revenues (€ mln)	% of sustainable revenues
<b>VESTAS WIND SYSTEMS A/S</b>	<b>54.6</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>DARLING INGREDIENTS INC.</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Svenska Cellulosa Aktiebolaget SCA</b>	<b>29.7</b>	<b>74%</b>

Source: Société Générale Private Wealth Management, Bloomberg, MSCI ESG  
 \* The ESG quality score for the universe is adjusted for the 20% lowest ESG scores.



## MANAGEMENT COMMENTARY (QUARTERLY)

The second quarter of 2024 was positive for the equity markets, led again by technological stocks linked to Artificial Intelligence, after another stellar quarter and rising growth forecasts. In the climate news, International Energy agency reported the first increase in US electricity demand after 20 years of volume stagnation. The principal reasons behind are electrification, data centers increasing demand and reshoring. Together with additional guidelines on Inflation Reduction Act subventions, and higher US tariffs on Chinese solar imports, the renewable energy sector rebounded significantly in April and May but corrected severely in June due to rising political uncertainties about the going-forward climate policies in Europe and US, as well as further delay in rate cuts in US. Within the Moorea Climate Action fund, the eco-sector Energy Efficiency was again the best contributor to the performance, led by semi-conductor companies such as Nvidia, Broadcom, TSMC. The renewable energies detracted overall at the end of the quarter. The solar components companies as Enphase and Solaredge announced further difficulties in the demand for their solar inverters and after analysis, Solaredge was exited from the portfolio. The best performer in the renewable energies was First Solar, rising 34% in the quarter, benefiting from its unique positioning as US-soil solar panel manufacturer, when the Chinese solar panels cannot be imported to US. In the sustainable mobility, Tesla rebounded during the quarter on the last sales better than expected as well as the expectations on the robo-taxi deployment are coming soon. Circular economy was having also negative performance – Darling Ingredients, the company producing biofuel from the used oil and animal rests, is suffering from the bio-diesel oversupply and decreased political support. Tomra, Norwegian producer of bottle recycling machines, has corrected after the very positive 1st quarter performance. On the back of political tensions after European elections and the French parliament dissolution, Vinci, French concessionary and construction company, was exited from the portfolio. With the rising announcements for the large infrastructure projects mainly for improving the US grid, portfolio manager has invested in Ashtead Group in the circular economy eco-sector. Ashtead is a large rental company, renting construction and industrial machinery having the US as the main market. In energy efficiency, Swiss ABB entered the portfolio, on the still needed electrification deployment in US and Europe.

# GLOSSARY and DISCLAIMER

## SFDR (Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation)

Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on sustainability disclosures in the financial services industry (the so-called SFDR Regulation or Disclosure Regulation).

## TAXONOMY

Regulation (EU) 2020/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 June 2020 (known as the Taxonomy Regulation) establishes a framework to facilitate sustainable investment and amends Regulation (EU) 2019/2088.

## ESG RATING

**MSCI ESG Ratings aim to measure a company's resilience to long-term, financially relevant ESG risks (Environment, Social et Gouvernance).** For each company a Weighted Average Key Issue Score is calculated based on the underlying Key Issue scores and weights. To arrive at a final letter rating, this score is normalized by industry. **The Industry Adjusted Score corresponds to a rating between AAA and CCC.** These assessments of company performance are not absolute but are explicitly intended to be relative to the standards and performance of a company's industry peers.

\*The ESG quality score of the universe is adjusted for the 20% lowest ESG scores.

Letter Rating	CCC	B	BB	BBB	A	AA	AAA
Score	0 - 1.4	1.4-2.9	2.9-4.3	4.3-5.7	5.7-7.1	7.1-8.6	8.6-10

## CARBON FOOTPRINT

As key climate change indicators, Greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) are classified as per the Greenhouse Gas Protocol and are grouped in three categories:

- ▶ **Scope 1 - Direct scope:** GHG emissions are those directly occurring from sources that are owned or controlled by the institution.
- ▶ **Scope 2 - Indirect scope:** GHG emissions are indirect emissions generated in the electricity production consumed by the institution.
- ▶ **Scope 3 - Indirect scope:** GHG emissions are all the other indirect emissions that are consequences of the institution's activities, but that occur from sources not owned and controlled by the institution.

The uses MSCI data who are based on declarative or estimated figures from companies. It aims to take into account the GHG emissions of Scope 1 and 2, produced by the companies held in the portfolio. GHG emissions are compared to the sales of each company and adjusted with the security weight in the portfolio. Emissions are expressed in carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e).

## SUSTAINABLE IMPACT REVENUES

Revenue exposure to Sustainable Impact Solutions reflects the extent to which company revenue is exposed to products and services that help solve the world's major social and environmental challenges. It is calculated as a weighted average, using portfolio or index weights and each issuer's percent of revenue generated from Sustainable Impact Solutions. To be eligible to contribute, an issuer must maintain minimum ESG standards.

## COVERAGE MSCI

The ESG MSCI rating does not cover all issuers, so it is important to display the coverage ratio to understand the rating. On this document, the rating coverage is displayed as a percentage (%), i.e. the percentage of covered securities on the entire portfolio.

# DISCLAIMER

*The content of this document should not be interpreted as an investment service or as investment advice, and under no circumstances is it to be used or considered as an offer or incentive to purchase or sell a particular product. The content is intended for information purposes only and to provide investors with the relevant reference information for any investment decisions. It has no regard to the specific financial objectives of any individual investor, nor may it be construed as legal, accounting or tax advice. Past performance is no indication of future results. Similarly, the present document is not intended as an incentive, offer or solicitation to invest in the asset categories listed herein. Investors are warned that the placing of stock market orders requires a perfect understanding of the markets and their governing legislation. Before investing, they must be aware that certain markets may be subject to rapid fluctuations and are speculative or lacking in liquidity. Accordingly, certain assets or categories of assets listed in the present document may not be appropriate for investors. In certain cases, investments may even bear an indeterminate high risk of loss that exceeds the initial investment made. Investors are therefore urged to seek the advice of their financial advisor or intermediary in order to assess the particular nature of an investment and the risks involved and its compatibility with their individual investment profile and objectives.*

*For Swiss investors: the Sub-Fund has been authorised for public sale by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier in Luxembourg and for public offering in Switzerland by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (shortly 'FINMA'). The prospectus, the KIID (Key Investor Information Document) and annual reports of the Fund are available on the website [www.fundinfo.com](http://www.fundinfo.com) and can be obtained from our Representative and Paying Agent in Switzerland: Société Générale, Paris, succursale de Zurich, Talacker 50, Case postale 1928, 8021 Zurich.*

## CONTROVERSY

An ESG Controversy may be defined as an incident or ongoing situation in which a company faces allegations of negatively impacting stakeholders (i.e.: workers, communities, the environment, shareholders, or society at large), via some type of wrongdoing across several ESG indicators. The aim of ESG Controversies research is to assess the severity of the negative impact of each situation, rather than the extent of negative press attention or public opprobrium.

For each issuer, the ESG rating comes along with a Controversy flag which reflects the lowest flag among analyzed key indicators.

- 🚫 **Green flag:** the company is not involved in major recent controversies
- 🚧 **Yellow flag:** in recent moderate-to-severe level controversies
- 🚨 **Orange flag:** one or more recent severe structural controversies that are ongoing
- 🚫 **Red flag:** one or more recent very severe controversies

**Controversy flag translates also into controversy score:**

Red 0 - Orange 1 - Yellow 2 to 4 - Green 5 to 10

## COMPANIES WITH CARBON EMISSION REDUCTION INITIATIVES

Companies with carbon emission reduction initiatives provide companies with a clearly defined pathway to future-proof growth by specifying how much and how quickly they need to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. Targets adopted by companies to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are considered "science-based" if they are in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement –to limit global warming to well-below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursue efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C.

\* Source : Science Based Target Initiative.

## IMPACT INDICATORS

The impact indicators allow us to report on the environmental, social and governmental commitment of our investments in a relevant and sustainable manner. The four indicators displayed are not an exhaustive list, but were chosen by the management company. Board Gender diversity: This figure represents the percentage of women on the board of directors of companies.

Company with a policy to address deforestation: Share of investment in companies with a policy of combating deforestation.

## VIOLATIONS OF UN GLOBAL COMPACT PRINCIPLES AND OECD

### GUIDELINES

The United Nations Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines encourage ethical and responsible business behaviour by addressing issues such as human rights, labour, the environment and anti-corruption.

## ESG LUXFLAG LABEL

LuxFLAG is an independent, non-profit, international association created in Luxembourg in July 2006. The objective of the LuxFLAG ESG Label is to reassure investors that the labelled funds actually incorporate ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) criteria throughout the entire investment process.